

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 CHRISTOPHER CHAMBERLIN,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 HARTOG, BAER & HAND, APC, et al.,
11 Defendants.

Case No. 19-cv-08243-JCS

12
13
14
15
16
17
18 **ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO FILE A MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

Re: Dkt. No. 120

19 On January 28, 2022, Plaintiff Christopher Chamberlin, pro se, filed his second motion for
20 leave to file a motion for reconsideration. That motion is DENIED for the same reasons as
21 Chamberlin's first motion for leave to seek reconsideration. Chamberlin has not shown that he
22 was diligent in seeking reconsideration. Even if he could show diligence, he has not shown any
23 basis for relief under Civil Local Rule 7-9(b). Specifically, he has not shown that the new emails
24 regarding a Levin family reunion he offers represent a *material* difference of fact or a new
material fact.

Once again, the Court stands by its previous "conclusions that 'an attorney's sister's husband's cousin is [not] the sort of "personal relationship" contemplated by the [applicable California] rule,' and that Chamberlin has offered no plausible reason to believe that any defendant would be influenced merely by an adverse party having the surname 'Levin.'" Order Denying 1st Mot. for Leave to File a Mot. for Reconsideration (dkt. 101) at 3 (quoting Order re Mot. to Dismiss 1st Am. Compl. (dk. 60) at 9) (alterations in original).

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: January 31, 2022

27
28 
JOSEPH C. SPERO
Chief Magistrate Judge